

**COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
EXECUTIVE BRANCH ETHICS COMMISSION
CASE NO. 20-005**

**IN RE: TONY LINDAUER
ALLEGED VIOLATION OF KRS CHAPTER 11A**

**INITIATING ORDER
Initiation of Administrative Proceeding
And Formal Complaint**

The Executive Branch Ethics Commission (the “Commission”), upon its own motion, initiated a preliminary investigation of Tony Lindauer (the “Respondent” or “Lindauer”), pursuant to KRS 11A.080(1), on February 5, 2019.

At all relevant times the Respondent was a “public servant” as defined in KRS 11A.010(9), and thus subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission.

The Commission initiated the preliminary investigation to determine whether it has sufficient probable cause to believe the Respondent violated provisions of KRS Chapter 11A, Executive Branch Code of Ethics (also referred to herein as the “Ethics Code”).

The Commission focused its investigation upon the Respondent’s possible violation of the Ethics Code by using or attempting to use his influence in a matter which involves a substantial conflict between his personal or private interest and his duties in the public interest, using or attempting to use any means to influence a public agency in derogation of the state at large, using his official position to secure or create privileges, exemptions, advantages, or treatment for himself in derogation of the public interest, failing to avoid all conduct which might in any way lead members of the general public to conclude that he is using his official position to further his professional or private

interest, and failing to abstain from action on an official decision in which he had a personal or private interest.

The Commission notified the Respondent of the preliminary investigation by letter dated February 14, 2019. During the course of the investigation, the Commission found probable cause to believe that violations of KRS Chapter 11A had occurred. The Commission voted on March 16, 2020, to initiate an administrative proceeding, pursuant to KRS 11A.080(4)(b) and KRS Chapter 13B, to determine whether the Respondent violated the Ethics Code as set forth in the Allegations of Violations, attached hereto and incorporated fully herein as Appendix A to this Initiating Order.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. This Initiating Order and Appendix shall be served on the Respondent pursuant to KRS 13B.050(2) by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the last known address of the Respondent, or by personal service.

2. The Respondent shall file his answer to this Initiating Order within twenty (20) days from the date of service, verifying the truth and accuracy of any answer submitted, with the Commission at its address at 1025 Capital Center Drive, Suite 104, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601.

3. If the Respondent does not submit an answer to the Initiating Order within twenty (20) days from the date of service, the Commission may accept the failure to answer as an admission of the allegations in the Initiating Order; find by clear and convincing evidence pursuant to KRS 11A.100(3) that the Respondent has engaged in the alleged conduct; and enter a final order against the Respondent for the full possible penalty allowed under KRS 11A.100(3).

4. The Respondent shall appear at a hearing to be scheduled by subsequent order and be prepared to defend against the Commission's allegations that he committed the Ethics Code violations set forth in the Allegation of Violations, attached hereto and incorporated fully herein as Appendix A to this Initiating Order.

5. The Commission will designate a hearing officer, pursuant to KRS 13B.030(2)(a), by contract with a private attorney through a personal services contract. The Commission will notify the Respondent or his retained counsel of the designation of a Hearing Officer as soon as possible after the appointment.

6. The Commission is represented by Michael W. Board, General Counsel, and Meena Mohanty, Assistant General Counsel. They may be contacted through the Commission's office at (502) 564-7954.

7. All original material and documents shall be submitted to the Executive Branch Ethics Commission, 1025 Capital Center Drive, Suite 104, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601, Attention: Debbie Briscoe, Commission Secretary. Copies of all materials shall be served on the designated Hearing Officer and the Commission's counsel listed in paragraph 6.

8. The Respondent has the right to obtain his own legal counsel during this proceeding. If the Respondent retains legal counsel, that legal counsel shall file an appearance with the Commission, and thereafter all correspondence from the Commission to the Respondent shall be mailed or delivered to the Respondent's attorney.

9. The Respondent has the right to examine upon request, at least five (5) days prior to the hearing, a list of witnesses the Commission expects to call at the hearing, any evidence that will be used at the hearing and any exculpatory information in

the Commission's possession.

10. The Respondent has the right to subpoena witnesses on his own behalf. If the Respondent subpoenas witnesses, he shall pay for all costs associated with the subpoenas' issuance, including any applicable witness fees.

11. If the Respondent fails to attend or participate as required at any stage of the administrative hearing process without good cause shown, he may be held in default pursuant to KRS 13B.050(3)(h).

12. The Respondent has a right to appeal any final Commission order to the Franklin Circuit Court within thirty (30) days of service.

13. This proceeding is subject to KRS Chapter 11A, the Commission's regulations, the provisions of KRS Chapter 13B, and any Order issued by the Commission or its hearing officer issued during this administrative proceeding.

So ordered this 31st day of March 2020.

EXECUTIVE BRANCH ETHICS COMMISSION:

Christopher L. Thacker

Christopher L. Thacker (Mar 31, 2020)

Christopher Thacker, Chair

Christopher W. Brooker

Christopher W. Brooker (Mar 31, 2020)

Christopher Brooker, Vice Chair

April A. Wimberg

April A. Wimberg (Mar 31, 2020)

April Wimberg, Member

Holly R. Iaccarino

Holly R. Iaccarino (Mar 31, 2020)

Holly Iaccarino, Member

Kyle M. Winslow

Kyle M. Winslow (Mar 31, 2020)

Kyle Winslow, Member

**APPENDIX A
CASE NO. 20-005
INITIATING ORDER**

ALLEGATION OF VIOLATIONS

The Respondent, Tony Lindauer, was at all relevant times an employee of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, serving as the Property Valuation Administrator in Jefferson County, Department of Revenue, Finance and Administration Cabinet. As such, the Respondent was subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. KRS 11A.010(9)(h).

During the course of its preliminary investigation, the Commission found probable cause to believe that Tony Lindauer committed the following violations:

COUNTS I - III

Tony Lindauer, during his course of employment as the Property Valuation Administrator in Jefferson County, Department of Revenue, Finance and Administration Cabinet, used or attempted to use his influence in a matter which involves a substantial conflict between his personal or private interest and his duties in the public interest, used or attempted to use any means to influence a public agency in derogation of the state at large, used his official position to secure or create privileges, exemptions, advantages, or treatment for himself in derogation of the public interest, and failed to avoid all conduct which might in any way lead members of the general public to conclude that he is using his official position to further his professional or private interest.

Specifically, in 2013, on at least three occasions during his time in office, Lindauer used his position to satisfy his own prurient interest.

These facts constitute violations of KRS 11A.020(1)(a), (b), and (d), and KRS 11A.020(2).

KRS 11A.020(1)(a), (b), (c), and (d), and KRS 11A.020(2) provide:

(1) No public servant, by himself or through others, shall knowingly:

- (a) Use or attempt to use his influence in any matter which involves a substantial conflict between his personal or private interest and his duties in the public interest;
- (b) Use or attempt to use any means to influence a public agency in derogation of the state at large;
- (d) Use or attempt to use his official position to secure or create privileges, exemptions, advantages, or treatment for himself or others in derogation of the public interest at large.

(2) If a public servant appears before a state agency, he shall avoid all conduct, which might in any way lead members of the general public to conclude that he is using his official position to further his professional or private interest.

(End of document)